Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,775	BIGGS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanhha Pham	2813
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Thanhha Pham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>William Hogg</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
,		
Claims discussed:		
16 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Amendment to claim 16 to clarify scope of claim and overcome prior art. Cancel claim 18 since claim 16 already cites limitation of said two layers of Ni and Au define walls in said opening in which a ball bond and wire is wholly disposed		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·		
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Application Application - (Application Application - in appropriate)		